GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH

LANDS – Visakhapatnam District - Notifying the land to an extent of Ac.5.28 cts. in Sy.No.153/1 and 154/1 of Paradesipalem village, Visakhapatnam Rural Mandal, Visakhapatnam District under section 22-A(1)(e) Registration Act, 1908 – publication of Govt. Lands in Gazette under Section 22-A(1)(e) of Registration Act, 1908 – Notification – Issued.

REVENUE(LANDS.I)DEPARTEMNT

Go.Ms.No.235 **Date :13.06.2023**

Read

Ref:- Rc.No.2828/2016/E1 (eOffice.No.80563)/Dt.-04-2023,Collector's Office Visakhapatnam

&&&&&

ORDER:

"Section 22-A(1)(e) of Registration Act, 1908 reads as "any documents or class of documents pertaining to the properties the State Government may, by notification prohibit the registration in which avowed or accrued interests of Central and State Government , Local Bodies, Educational, Cultural, Religious and Charitable Institutions, those attached by Civil, Criminal, Revenue Courts and Direct and indirect Tax Laws and others which are likely to adversely affect these interests".

2. The District Collector, Visakhapatnam District has stated that in the year 2011 the request of the petitioner for denotification of the subject lands was allowed. Subsequently as it is revealed that the subject land is Government land the subject lands were again notified under Sec 22(A) in the year 2017. Again the applicant has filed application for denotification of the land which was examined by the then District Collector and the request of the applicant was rejected vide Progs.Rc.No.2828/2016/E1/Dt.25.07.2018.

3. Aggrieved by this the petitioner has filed the Writ Petition No.27656/2018 with a prayer to:

"to declare the Proceedings in Rc.No 2828/2016/E1.Dt.25.07.2018 of the District Collector Visakhapatnam as being arbitrary illegal and set aside the same with a further direction directing the District Collector not to interfere with the rights of the petitioner and their successors in interest in respect of the land in Sy Nos 153/1 153/3 and 154/1 admeasuring Ac 5.28 cents Ac 4.18 cents and 0.30 cents situated at Paradesipalem Village of Visakhapatnam Rural Mandal Visakhapatnam District and not to treat the said land as Government land".

4. The District Collector, Visakhapatnam District has also stated that suitable Counter was filed with records and the records were also presented before the Hon'ble High Court in support of the Counter filed in the matter. As such after having examining the matter, unfortunately the Writ Petition was allowed by the Hon'ble High Court on 30.12.2022 and pronounced the following order (Main order annexed below for kind perusal)

Operative Part:

33. In the case on hand, the District Collector want to circumvent the earlier orders of the District Collectors on the ground that the said orders were obtained on the basis of the interpolated and fabricated records. As could be seen from the record, in the earlier orders, the District Collector have specifically mentioned that they have gone through relevant records before passing the orders. The second respondent District has acted without jurisdiction in entering into the proceedings of his predecessor-in-office and finding fault with the enquiry conducted by them. If at all, the authorities were aggrieved by the orders passed by the earlier District Collectors, they would have carried the matter to the appellate or revisional authorities, but they

P.T.O

cannot re-open and review the matter endlessly, moreover when the issue regarding classification of the land has been given a quietus by this court vide orders in WP.No.28080 of 2009.

34. From the above, it is evident that, by passing the impugned orders, the District Collector has reopened and reviewed the orders passed by his predecessors-in-office, which is impermissible under law.

35. In view of the above, the writ petition is allowed, setting aside the impugned proceedings of the District Collector, Visakhapatnam in Rc.No.2828/2016/E1 Dt.25.07.2018. However, this order does not preclude the respondent authorities to take steps as per law, if they are so advised. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel thereto, miscellaneous petition, if any, pending shall stand closed. Interim orders, if any shall stand vacated.

HISTORY OF THE CASE:-

5. The District Collector, Visakhapatnam District has stated the Managing Director, Meghalaya Hotels Pvt. Ltd, has requested to de-notify the land admeasuring Ac.5.58 cts. covered by Sy.Nos.153/1, 153/3 and 154/1 of Paradesipalem Village, Visakhapatnam Rural Mandal from the list of prohibited properties U/s 22-A of A.P.Registration Act, 1908 which was purchased from M/s. Vizag Social Profile Organization, Rep. by its Chairman Sri. Tadi Surya Bhaskara Reddy.

6. As verified from the 22-A list, the extents covered by following sub-divisions in Sy.No.153 and 154 of Paradesipalem(v) of Visakhapatnam Rural Mandal are notified u/s.22-A as follows.

22-A(1)(a)

Sy.No.	Classification	Extent	Name of the Owner
153/2	Vagu	2.04	State Govt.
154/2	Vagu	4.18	State Govt.

A.P.Assigned Lands (Prohibition of Transfre) Rules 2007.
Form-III

Sy.No.	Extent	Name of the Assignee
153	5.00	Bommakanti Jaggamma

7. The District Collector, Visakhapatnam District has also stated initially, one Sri Grandhi Kamaraju and T.Surya Bhaskara Reddy have filed W.P.Nos.1441/2008 and 1446/2008 respectively with a prayer to issue a writ order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondents in not disposing the application filed by the petitioners for issuance of pattadar pass book by incorporating their names in the revenue records as arbitrary illegal and also violative of Articles 14, 21, 300A of the Constitution of India and consequently direct the respondents to dispose off the application dated 19.6.2007 for issuance of pattadar pass book by incorporating their names in the revenue records and to pass such other order or orders.

8. The Hon'ble High Court in its common orders dt.29.01.2008 in W.P.Nos.1441/2008 and 1446/2008, held that "***the 2nd respondent -Tahsildar, Visakhapatnam Rural, Visakhapatnam is directed to consider and dispose of the enclosed representations and take necessary action as per the provisions of the Act in accordance with law as expeditiously as possible".***

P.T.O

9. In compliance to the above directions of the Hon'ble High Court, the then Tahsildar, Visakhapatnam Rural had conducted enquiry and passed orders rejecting the claim of the petitioners vide RC.No.556/2008/Spl.RI/dt.09.11.2008 stating that *"undoubtedly the lands covered by Sy.Nos.153/1, 153/3 and 154/1 of Paradesipalem Village are Government lands. The SFA and 10(1) Register and V.A.No.3 (Adangal for the Fasili -1408) of Paradesipalem Village have been manipulated in the name of Sri Devara Appayya with a malafide intention to grab the valuable Government land. The entries in V.A.No.3 for the Fasili -1398, 1409 (computerized Adangal) and 1410 clearly reveals the fact that, the name of Smt.Lakshmi was recorded as Pattadar in Sy.No.153/1 and 153/3 of Paradesipalem village who might be the assignee, but not the name Sri Devara Appayya. Hence, it is clear that, Sri Devara Appayya was no way concerned with the lands in question. The copies of the documents filed by the applicant in support of their claim are the documents obtained by them from the competent Authorities based on the tampered records and he declared that the documents produced by the applicant were manipulated and as such, the then Tahsildar has rejected the application for issue of Pattadar Pass Books in favour of Sri Grandhi Kamaraju and Tadi Surya Bhaskara Reddy in Sy.No.153/1, 153/3 and 154/1 of Paradesipalem Village."*

10. Aggrieved by the above rejection orders, Sri T.Surya Bhaskara Reddy and one other have preferred an appeal before the Appellate Authority and Revenue Divisional Officer, Visakhapatnam under the ROR Act 1971 and the Appellate Authority vide its orders in Rc.No.6116/2009/C dt.29.09.2009 held that the subject lands are zeroithi lands classified as Ryotwari Dry and not Government lands and further directed the Tahsildar, Visakhapatnam Rural to incorporate changes in revenue records after obtaining permission from the District Collector, Visakhapatnam for deleting the said lands from 22-A of registration Act. Further the Appellate Authority directed the appellants to file claim before the competent authority to prove their title, on filing such applications the Tahsildar, Visakhapatnam Rural is directed to dispose them after due enquiry.

11. Again Sri T.Surya Bhaskara Reddy and one other filed a W.P.No.28080/2009 before the Hon'ble High Court with a prayer to direct the Tahsildar, Visakhapatnam Rural for issuance of PPB/TD and the Hon'ble High Court vide its orders dt.18.01.2010 allowed the Writ Petition and the fourth respondent (Tahsildar, Visakhapatnam Rural) is directed to issue pattadar passbooks in favour of the petitioners in respect of the land referred to above duly verifying, and issuing notice to the vendor of the petitioners within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

12. As the Tahsildar has not issued PPB/TD, Sri T.Surya Bhaskara Reddy and one other filed contempt case against the Tahsildar, Visakhapatnam Rural and in order to comply with the orders of the Hon'ble High Court in W.P.No.28080/2009 Dt.18.01.2010, the Tahsildar has issued PPBs/TDs in favour of the petitioners. Subsequently, Sri T.Surya Bhaskara Reddy and Grandhi Kamaraju filed a representation before the District Collector, Visakhapatnam on 06.10.2009 with a request to withdraw the lands covered by Sy.Nos.153/1, 153/3 and 154/1 admeasuring Ac.5.28 cts, Ac.4.18 cts and Ac.0.30 cts respectively from the list of prohibited properties U/s 22-A of A.P.Registration Act, 1908.

13. The District Collector vide proceedings D.Dis.No.3040/2009/E1 dt.22.04.2011, de-notified the lands covered by Sy.Nos.153/1, 153/3, 154/1 of Paradesipalem (V), Visakhapatnam Rural Mandal from the list of prohibited properties U/s 22-A of A.P.Registration Act, 1908 basing on the report of the then Revenue Divisional Officer, Visakhapatnam in Rc.No.4436/2010/A dt.14.09.2010 that the subject land stands classified as Sarkaru Punja as per pre and post abolition records.

P.T.O

14. While the things stood thus, the GVMC authorities vide letter RC.No.12738/2014/G1 Dt.13.11.2014, have addressed a letter to the District Collector, Visakhapatnam stating that Sri T.Surya Bhaskara Reddy, Chairman, Vizag Social profiles organization has submitted an application for layout approval for the land measuring an extent of Ac.5.28 cts. in Sy.No.153/1 and 154/1 of Paradesipalem village and seeks clarification on the lands covered in Sy.No.153/1 and Sy.No.154/1 of Paradesipalem village, since as seen from the 22-A register available, the subject lands are recorded as "Gayalu (Govt. lands) and how they are converted into patta lands (Zeroyithi lands) is not known". In turn, the then District Collector vide Letter Rc.No.3536/2014/D2 Dt:27.02.2016 has informed to the Commissioner, GVMC, Visakhapatnam as follows.

a) *"It is to inform that, the classification of the land measuring to an extent of Ac.5.58 cts covered by Sy.Nos.153/1 and 154/1 of Paradesipalem village of Visakhapatnam Rural Mandal has been confirmed as Govt. Dry (Zeroyithi) and was withdrawn from the list of Govt. lands notified U/s 22-A of Registration Act, by the then Collector, Visakhapatnam vide Proceedings D.Dis.No.3040/2009/E1 Dt:22.04.2011.*

b) There was a discrepancy regarding classification of above said land and complaints also came up from various people. The matter went on various court cases and the then Revenue Divisional Officer, Visakhapatnam has examined all the records thoroughly and passed orders confirming the classification of above said land taking into consideration of entries available in MDR and other revenue records. Thereby, the then Collector, Visakhapatnam has passed detailed order duly considering all the issues and confirmed the classification of the land as Govt. Dry (Zeroyithi) vide Proceedings. D.Dis.No.3040/2009/E1 Dt:22.04.2011, which is self-explanatory."

15. The Tahsildar, Visakhapatnam Rural has submitted a report vide Rc.No.258/2015/A, dt:21.02.2015 stating that

a. As per original D.R. File No.227/71, dt:23.06.1970 available in Tahsildar office, the Sy.No.153/1 measuring an extent of Ac.0.28 cts and Sy.No.153/3 measuring an extent of Ac.4.10 cts of Paradesipalem Village was assigned to Smt. Mateti Lakshmi W/o Krishna Murthy under landless poor category.

b. As seen from the post abolition survey settlement record i.e. Classification Register (Settlement Form-I) available in Tahsildar office, the land in Sy.No.153/1 (0.28) and 153/3 (4.10) stood recorded as "Kundakam rounded off and written as Banjar" and also assignment was granted in favour of Smt. Maketi Lakshmi W/o Krishna Murthy. As per the above original records, it clearly reveals that the subject lands are U.A.W. lands, which are absolutely vested with govt. The petitioners are claiming the subject land as Zeroyithi, based on the orders vide Rc.No.6116/2009/C, dt:29.09.2009 of the Appellate Authority and Revenue Divisional Officer, Visakhapatnam issued under AP ROR Act 1971.

16. As the matter stood thus, while enclosing the copy of the orders of the Hon'ble High Court in W.P.No.11142/2018 Dt.04.04.2018, Sri T.Suryabhaskara Reddy has filed a representation before the District Collector, Visakhapatnam to delete the subject land from the list of prohibited properties U/s 22-A.

17. The Hon'ble High Court in its orders dt.04.04.2018 in W.P.No.11142/2018 held that "To examine the claim of the petitioner for deletion of property to an extent of Ac. 5.00 Cts in Sy.No.153 of Paradesipalem village from the list of prohibited properties u/s.22-A of Registration Act 2008 and to pass appropriate orders as warranted by law assigning due reasons in support of its decisions and

P.T.O

also duly taking note of earlier report of the District Collector deleting the land in Sy.No.153/1 and 153/3 within a period of 6 weeks from the date of receipt of the copy of this order."

18. The District Collector, Visakhapatnam vide progs.Rc.No.2828/2016/E1 dt.23.07.2018 has rejected the request of the applicant on the following grounds:

19. As per Classification Register of Paradesipalem Village in original; the lands covered by Sy.Nos.153/1 [Ac.5.28 cts], 153/3 [Ac.4.18 cts] and 154/1 [Ac.0.30 cts] of Paradesipalem Village, Visakhapatnam-R Mandal are Government Poramboke lands.

20. Generally, the classification of lands can be changed under the strength of Section 11(a) of the Andhra Pradesh (Andhra Area) Estates (Abolition and conversion into Ryotwari) Act, 1948. Further, as per the procedure that would be followed by the Revenue Department, any incorporations/ changes in revenue records shall be done with Settlement orders. But, no such orders were issued in respect of above lands which raises the doubt about tampering of SFA.

21. Having regards to the facts and circumstances of the case, the Tahsildar, Visakhapatnam-(Rural) who is the primary custodian of the revenue records has passed orders vide Rc.No.556/2008/Spl.RI dt.09.11.2008 and held that, "undoubtedly the lands covered by Sy.No.153/1, 153/3 and 154/1 of Paradesipalem Village are Government lands, the SFA and 10(1) Register and V.A.No.3 for Fasli-1408 (Year-1998) of Paradesipalem Village have been tampered on the name of Sri Devara Appayya with a malafide intention to grab the valuable Government Land." Hence, the Tahsildar, Visakhapatnam Rural rejected the plea of the petitioner as the subject land is a Government land.

22. At this juncture, the Revenue Divisional Officer, Visakhapatnam vide Rc.No.6116/2009/C Dt.29.09.2009 issued orders on the appeal filed by the petitioner under Andhra Pradesh Rights in Land and Pattadar Pass Books Act, 1971 and allowed the claim erroneously in favour of the petitioners, which is not maintainable on the following reasons:

i. There is no question of filing any appeal under the Pattadar Pass Books Act against the order of Tahsildar when there is a title dispute with the Government.

ii. The Revenue Divisional Officer has no jurisdiction to issue Pattadar Pass Books or cancel the Pattadar Pass Books and it is only the Tahsildar, who is empowered under the Patta Pass Book Act to do the same. The scope and circumstances of such enquiry by revenue authorities into issues of title is circumscribed by Section 5 of the Act of 1971 read with Rule 9(1)(a)(i), (ii) and (iii) of the Rules of 1989. Under Rule 9(1)(a)(i), the Mandal Revenue Officer is empowered to deal with change of Registry owing to the death of the registered holder. Under Rule 9(1)(a)(ii), the Mandal Revenue Officer is authorized to entertain a dispute where there is a break in the chain of registered documents, if the change of registry is based on a sale, gift etc. through registered documents. Under Rule 9(1)(a)(iii), disputes pertaining to grant of joint pattas of a joint Hindu family shall be referred to the Mandal Revenue Officer. Under Rule 9(1)(c)(iii), the Mandal Revenue Officer is entitled to resolve disputes involving a break in the chain of registered documents by relying on certain other evidence such as statements of other ryots and cist receipts. Under Rule 9(1)(c)(ii), the Mandal Revenue Officer is empowered to hold a summary enquiry as to who has the right to succeed to the property of a registered holder. These, then, are the only disputes that can be

P.T.O

resolved by the Mandal Revenue Officer under the scheme of the Act of 1971 and the Rules of 1989. **The aforesaid provisions demonstrate that the revenue authorities, under the Act of 1971 and the Rules of 1989, are empowered to undertake a summary appraisal and enquiry of particular disputes in respect of title.**

i. But, there is no jurisdiction to decide the title over the property, especially while dealing with ROR proceedings and such enquiry is obviously not a substitute for adjudication by a Civil Court of competent jurisdiction.

ii. In the course of such enquiry, it would not be open to the revenue authority to set aside transactions or adjudicate complicated issues falling outside the scope of Rule 9(1)(a)(i), (ii) and (iii) of the Rules of 1989 as no legally enforceable right and cannot claim title on the basis of mere agreement of sale which is disputed.

iii. Further, with a view to grab the subject lands, incorporated the entries in Adangal F-1398, Settlement Adangal, SFA, VA.No.10(1) in collusion with the then officials. It asserted that, the vendors of the subject land don't have any right in respect of the subject lands and the mere fabricated entry in the revenue record did not vest them with any entitlement over the subject lands.

iv. When the Tahsildar passed orders in progs.Rc.No.556/2008/Spl.RI Dt:-9.11.2008 are not appealable under section 5(5) of the Act of 1971 as he rejected the title of subject lands by rebuttal evidence, the Revenue Divisional officer, Visakhapatnam may have to reject the appeal until the parties should be directed to get their rights adjudicated through appropriate proceedings by resorting to a civil suit or competent settlement authorities.

v. Further, the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in Faqruddin (dead) through LRS Vs Tajuddin (dead) through LRS held that, "once the revenue authorities had no jurisdiction to decide the question of title, their decision being without jurisdiction would be a nullity."

vi. Further, the complex and serious disputes of title falling beyond the ambit of Rule 9 of 1989 are not within the ken of the adjudicative authority of revenue authorities even under the Act of 1971.

In a summation and as per the analogy, the Revenue Divisional officer, Visakhapatnam passed order in Rc.No.6116/2009/C Dt:-29.9.2009 was devoid of jurisdiction and far in excess of the powers conferred by the Act of 1971 and the Rules of 1989 as he interfered with the **title of Government Lands** and thus the matter was further litigated deeply and the dispute is serious as per orders of the Tahsildar concerned.

vii. Further, as per the available records such as assignment file bearing D.R.No.727/71 and old V.A.No.2 Account (F-1385 to 1387), it is proved that, the land in question are Government Assigned lands which were assigned in favour of Mateti Lakshmi and Bommakanti Jaggamma during the year-1970 under landless poor category. Further, as per VA.No.2, it is observed that the land in Sy.No.153/1 [Ac.5.28 Cts] was subsequently sub divided as Sy.No.153/1 [0.28 Cts] and 153/4 [Ac.5.00 Cts] and necessary incorporations are made in old Village account No-2 as per 8A No.5/79. Further, the same entries are tallied with the Survey numbers mentioned in D-Form Patta issued in favour of Smt.Mateti Laxmi.

viii. The earlier orders passed by the then Collector in D.Dis.No.3040/2009/E1 Dt.22.04.2011 and subsequent clarification given to the GVMC vide

P.T.O

LrRc.No.3536/2014/D2 Dt.27.2.2016 are relied upon by interpolated and fabricated records which were presented during the course of R.O.R appeal. Now, pursuant to the representation filed by Smt. Mateti Laxmi and as per the Hon'ble High Court orders in W.P.No.11142/2018 dt.4.4.2018, the above revenue records are came into light and the above records are proved that, the subject lands are Government Assigned Lands.

23. All the observations as elucidated above, clearly prove beyond any reasonable doubt that, the lands covered by Sy.No.153/1 [Ac.5.28 Cts], Sy.No.153/3 [Ac 4.18 Cts] and Sy.No.154/1 [Ac 0.30 Cts] situated in Paradesipalem (V) of Visakhapatnam-(R) Mandal are Government land and assigned to Bommakanti Jaggamma [**Ac.5.00 Cts**] and Mateti Laxmi **[Ac.4-18 cts+Ac. 0.30 Cts- Ac.4.48 Cts]**. Further as per Assignment file in original; Smt. Mateti Laxmi was granted D-Form patta for the lands in DR.No.727/71 Dt: 23.6.1970 of the then Tahsildar, Visakhapatnam (Erstwhile Taluk). But, on ground the assignees are not in possession of the land and hence the provisions of AP. Assigned Lands (P.O.T) Act 9 of 1977 are applicable on these lands.

24. Aggrieved by this the petitioner has approached the Hon'ble High court in WP.No.27656/2018 and after hearing and perusing the records the Hon'ble High Court has dismissed the case on 30.12.2022 on the ground that the District Collector who has passed rejection orders Dt.25.07.2018 has no jurisdiction to review the orders passed by his predecessors-in-office who has confirmed that they have verified the records. As such the Hon'ble High Court has set aside the orders Dt.25.07.2018 rejecting the claim of the petitioner. Further the Hon'ble High Court has allowed the respondent authorities to take steps as per law if they are so advised.

25. In this connection, the Hon'ble High Court has passed the orders in WP.No.27656/2018 mainly taking strength of the following orders which are against the interests of Government:

1) The ROR orders passed by the Revenue Divisional Officer Rc.No.6116/2009/C dt.29.09.2009.

2) Pattadar Pass Books issued vide orders of the Hon'ble High Court in WP.No.28080/2009 Dt. 18.01.2010.

3) Proceedings D.Dis.No.3040/2009/E1/Dt.22.04.2011of the then District Collector, Visakhapatnam denotifying the lands from 22-A lists.

26. The Hon'ble High Court did not considered the contention raised by the official respondents inspite of submitting such records before the Hon'ble court proving the subject lands are Government assigned lands.

27. In this connection, the following counteractive action is being taken in the matter in view of the orders of the Hon'ble High Court Dt. 30.12.2022 in WP.No.27656/2018 to defend the interests of the Government.

a) A Local Suit for recovery of possession is being filed in Civil Court claiming the Government land by producing such relevant documents and grounds that negates the version of the petitioner that Devara Appayya was not issued any Settlement patta as there is no evidence as to such settlement order has been passed and also there are no subsequent incorporations to the Revenue Records and those records by virtue of which the petitioner is claiming the same are tampered and fabricated documents.

b) A suo-moto appeal u/s sec.9 of The A.P. Rights in Land and Pattadar Pass Books Act 1971 against the orders passed by the Revenue Divisional Officer, Visakhapatnam passed in the matter vide Rc.No.6116/2009/C dt.29.09.2009 is being taken up in the court of the Joint Collector and Revision Authority,

P.T.O

as the High court orders Dt.30.12.2022 does not preclude the authorities to review the same in view of the observations made by the then District Collector in orders Dt.25.07.2018 which are considerable and relevant in the context of the evidences available on record.

28. In this regard, it is submitted that, the Hon'ble High Court has objected that the District Collector who has passed rejection orders Dt.25.07.2018 has no jurisdiction to review the orders passed by his predecessors-in-office who has confirmed that they have verified the records.

29. In view of the observations made by the Hon'ble High Court and in the light of the facts reported above supported with substantial evidence available to confirm that the lands are Government lands, it is highly warranted to protect these valuable Government lands which costs around Rs.35,000/- to Rs.50,000/- per sq. yard (Card Value Rs. 25,000/-) and the same need to be characterized under **"lands having accrued interests of Government"** and need to be notified under 22A1(e).

30. Finally, the District Collector, Visakhapatnam District has requested the Government to take up the matter under the statue as special case and pass orders to notify these lands **u/s 22A(1)e (Lands having accrued interests of Government)** duly reviewing the orders of the District Collector in D.Dis.No.3040/2009/E1/Dt.22.04.2011 as the same are contrary to observations made by the then District Collector in orders Dt.25.07.2018 which are considerable and relevant in the context of the evidences available on record.

31. Government after careful examination, hereby decide to publish the land to an extent of Ac.5.28 cts. in Sy.No.153/1 and 154/1 of Paradesipalem village, Visakhapatnam Rural Mandal, Visakhapatnam District to be considered under Section 22-A(1)(e) of Registration Act, 1908 in respect of Visakhapatnam District. Accordingly, the following notification shall be published in the Andhra Pradesh Extraordinary Gazette, Dated**:13-06-2023.**

NOTIFICATION

In exercise of the powers conferred under clause(e) of sub-section (1) of Section 22-A of the Registration Act, 1908 (Act XVI of 1908) the Governor of Andhra Pradesh hereby prohibit the Registration land to an extent of Ac.5.28 cts. in Sy.No.153/1 and 154/1 of Paradesipalem village, Visakhapatnam Rural Mandal, Visakhapatnam District in the interest of State Government.

(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF THE GOVERNOR OF ANDHRA PRADESH)

G SAI PRASAD
SPECIAL CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT

To
The Commissioner, Printing, Stationery & Store Purchase (Printing Wing) Department, A.P. Hyderabad(3 copies)
[He is requested to furnish (200) copies of Gazette to the District Collector, Visakhapatnam, 50 copies each to the Special C.S & Special CS & Chief Commissioner of Land Administration, A.P., AP; the C&IG, R&S, A.P., . and Government].
The Spl.C.S. & Chief Commissioner of Land Administration, A.P., Mangalagiri,Guntur District.
The Commissioner & Inspector General of Registration and Stamps, A.P.Viajayawada.
Copy to:
The OSD to Hon'ble Deputy C.M.(Revenue)
The Revenue (Regn-I) Department.

//FORWARDED: BY ORDER//

SECTION OFFICER